www.acsami.org

Lipid Bicelle Micropatterning Using Chemical Lift-Off Lithography

Jason N. Belling, Kevin M. Cheung, Joshua A. Jackman, Tun Naw Sut, Matthew Allen, Jae Hyeon Park, Steven J. Jonas, Nam-Joon Cho,* and Paul S. Weiss*

Cite This: ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 13447–13455

Cite This: ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 13447–13455

ACCESS
ACCE

ABSTRACT: Supported lipid membranes are versatile biomimetic coatings for the chemical functionalization of inorganic surfaces. Developing simple and effective fabrication strategies to form supported lipid membranes with micropatterned geometries is a long-standing challenge. Herein, we demonstrate how the combination of chemical lift-off lithography (CLL) and easily prepared lipid bicelle nanostructures can yield micropatterned, supported lipid membranes on gold surfaces with high pattern resolution, conformal character, and biofunctionality. Using CLL, we functionalized gold surfaces with patterned arrays of hydrophilic and hydrophobic self-assembled monolayers (SAMs). Time-lapse fluorescence microscopy imaging revealed that lipid bicelles adsorbed preferentially onto the hydrophilic SAM regions, while



there was negligible lipid adsorption onto the hydrophobic SAM regions. Functional receptors could be embedded within the lipid bicelles, which facilitated selective detection of receptor-ligand binding interactions in a model streptavidin-biotin system. Quartz crystal microbalance-dissipation measurements further identified that lipid bicelles adsorb irreversibly and remain intact on top of the hydrophilic SAM regions. Taken together, our findings indicate that lipid bicelles are useful lipid nanostructures for reproducibly assembling micropatterned, supported lipid membranes with precise pattern fidelity.

KEYWORDS: soft lithography, self-assembled monolayer, micropatterning, supported lipid bilayer, bicelle

INTRODUCTION

Microscale patterning of solid-supported lipid membranes is a challenging task that involves controlling the self-assembly pathway of adsorbing lipid molecules on a material surface along with precise chemical functionalization of the target interface. While it is possible to tether patterned arrays of three-dimensional (3D) lipid vesicles on solid supports,¹ most studies focus on two-dimensional (2D) lipid membrane architectures, such as supported lipid bilayers (SLBs), because they provide conformal coatings that are well-suited for a wide range of sensor and biotechnology applications.^{2–5}

Early work on SLB micropatterning focused on silica-based surfaces on which solution-phase lipid vesicles typically adsorb and rupture spontaneously to form SLBs.^{6–8} These strategies dealt with preinstalling material barriers to inhibit vesicle adsorption or SLB formation in specific locations on the target surface.⁹ For example, Groves et al. used photolithographic methods to form grids of photoresist, aluminum oxide, or gold on oxidized silicon substrates where adsorbing vesicles would fuse and rupture on oxidized silicon while the grid regions inhibited vesicle adsorption or SLB formation.^{10,11} Kung et al. expanded on this concept to micropattern SLBs on glass surfaces by applying soft lithographic approaches with proteinbased coatings.¹² Other work has also shown that functionalizing silica-based surfaces with hydrophilic polymers can enable selective SLB patterning across the nano- to microscales by employing the vesicle fusion method. $^{\rm 13-16}$

In marked contrast to silica surfaces, vesicles typically adsorb but do *not* rupture on gold surfaces, ^{6,17} thereby limiting opportunities to form micropatterned SLBs based on the aforementioned design principles. Rather, Jenkins et al. demonstrated how microcontact printing of hydrophilic selfassembled monolayers (SAMs) on gold surfaces promoted tethered SLB formation from adsorbing vesicles.^{18,19} The structural conversion of adsorbed vesicles into a SLB on hydrophilic SAMs entails complex formation processes that depend on the pattern geometry.²⁰ Using microcontact printing, Strulson and Maurer demonstrated the formation of patterned SLBs on tetra(ethylene glycol) SAMs from adsorbing vesicles, whereby lipid monolayers formed on reinserted hydrophobic SAM regions.²¹ Notably, the SLB formation process depended on vesicle adsorption at the

Received: November 12, 2019 Accepted: February 24, 2020 Published: February 24, 2020





Figure 1. Schematic illustration of lipid bicelle micropatterning using chemical lift-off lithography. (1) A gold substrate is cleaned using a hydrogen flame annealing step to remove organic impurities from the surface. (2) A hydrophilic mercaptoundecanol (HSC11-OH) self-assembled monolayer (SAM) is formed on the gold surface via deposition from solution. (3) A patterned polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp is brought into contact with the surface, forming covalent bonds between the PDMS and the contacted HSC11-OH. (4) The contacted molecules are selectively removed by lifting off the PDMS and (5) patterning HSC11-OH on the gold surface. (6) A hydrophobic 1-octadecanethiol SAM is inserted into the exposed gold regions, forming a mixed monolayer with contrasting wettability. (7) Bicelles are adsorbed to the patterned hydrophilic regions to form patterned bicelle adlayers.

interface between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic SAM regions.²² Altogether, these findings support that micropatterning of supported lipid membranes on gold surfaces is possible and open the door to exploring new patterning strategies and lipid membrane architectures that can be used for a variety of applications. For example, patterned arrays of SLB-functionalized gold surfaces would be useful detection platforms for electrochemical or plasmonic characterization of important biomembrane-related processes such as lipidreceptor interactions involved in cell signaling and the mimicking of T-cell immunological synapses.^{23,24} Moreover, control of the physicochemical properties of patterned membranes can enable selective cell capture for cell-based assays and the monitoring of cell behavior in controlled microenvironments, such as cellular differentiation.^{25,26}

Herein, we report a robust and efficient strategy to micropattern a well-packed, adsorbed layer of 2D lipid bicelles on chemically functionalized gold surfaces. Our approach combines chemical lift-off lithography (CLL), a soft-lithographic method to pattern hydrophilic and hydrophobic SAMs on gold and other surfaces using plasma-activated polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamps,²⁷⁻³² and lipid bicelles, which are easily prepared, 2D lipid nanostructures that readily adsorb onto hydrophilic surfaces.^{33–35} Importantly, the subtractive patterning process of CLL enables high-resolution patterning with sharp borders while avoiding the challenges of conventional soft-lithographic methods (e.g., microcontact printing), such as lateral diffusion of molecular inks.³⁶⁻³⁹ Using fluorescence microscopy imaging and quartz crystal microbalance-dissipation (QCM-D) measurements, we demonstrate how bicelles are versatile lipid nanostructures for assembling supported lipid membranes with conformal character and high pattern fidelity, along with excellent utility for studying membrane-associated biomacromolecular interactions, such as ligand-receptor binding.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Lipid self-assembly on solid supports is strongly linked to the physicochemical properties of the target material surface.^{8,40} When lipid nanostructures (e.g., bicelles or vesicles) interact with hydrophilic surfaces, lipid adsorption typically occurs, while hydrophobic surfaces can inhibit lipid adsorption, depending on the surface free energy⁴¹ and lipid-surface adhesion strength.⁴² The adhesion is mainly affected by the energetic mismatch between the hydrophobic surface and hydrophilic lipid headgroups and also depends on the adsorption footprint of a contacting lipid nanostructure.⁴² Thus, we developed a CLL-based micropatterning strategy that utilizes a combination of hydrophilic and hydrophobic SAMs to control supported lipid membrane formation on gold surfaces spatially. The fabrication steps are outlined in Figure 1. Bare gold surfaces were incubated with an ethanolic solution of mercaptoundecanol (HSC11-OH) to form a hydrophilic SAM. Selective removal of HSC11-OH monolayer regions was then conducted by contacting and then removing patterned oxygen plasma-activated PDMS stamps from functionalized gold surfaces. The patterned surfaces were next incubated with an ethanolic solution of 1-octadecanethiol (HSC18) to insert these hydrophobic molecules within the lifted-off regions. The resulting surface is composed of a patterned combination of hydrophilic and hydrophobic SAMs of HSC11-OH and HSC18 molecules, respectively. The hydrophilic molecule, HSC11-OH, possesses suitable chemical functionality for chemical lift-off while the hydrophobic molecule, HSC18, can be inserted into lifted-off regions while preserving the target pattern regions of covalently attached HSC11-OH molecules.²⁹ Successful lift-off of HSC11-OH SAMs and insertion of HSC18 SAMs in target patterned regions was visualized by atomic force microscopy (AFM) characterization in height and adhesion force modes (Figure S1). On the basis of this platform design, we were able to achieve selective adsorption of the lipid bicelle nanostructures onto the

www.acsami.org

Research Article



Figure 2. Micropatterning of lipid bicelles and vesicles on chemically patterned gold surfaces. Fluorescence micrographs show the results of fluorescently labeled (red) lipid (A) bicelle and (B) vesicle adsorption onto micropatterned self-assembled monolayer-functionalized gold surfaces consisting of hydrophilic mercaptoundecanol and hydrophobic 1-octadecanethiol with different pattern geometries (UCLA-CNSI and squares). Micrographs were taken after 45 min of incubation and a buffer washing step for each lipid nanostructure.



Figure 3. Time-lapse fluorescence microscopy imaging of lipid bicelle adsorption onto a micropatterned gold surface. Fluorescence micrographs of fluorescently labeled lipid bicelles incubated with a chemically patterned gold surface consisting of hydrophilic mercaptoundecanol and hydrophobic 1-octadecanethiol. The mixed monolayer surfaces were incubated in the fluorescently labeled bicelle solution and images were taken sequentially to avoid bleaching the fluorophore. Bicelle patterning was first observed at t = 0 min, and image snapshots of the surface are presented for every 4 min after incubation started. After 20 min of incubation, bicelles were preferentially adsorbed to the hydrophilic SAM regions. For all images, there was no wash step to observe the bicelle adsorption behavior.

hydrophilic SAMs to form micropatterned supported lipid membranes.

As a first step, we conducted fluorescence microscopy experiments to investigate the adsorption of fluorescently labeled bicelles and vesicles, two widely studied classes of lipid nanostructures, onto functionalized gold surfaces with different pattern geometries (Figure 2). While gold surfaces can quench fluorescent molecules in close proximity to the substrate, the quenching effect is distance dependent and SAMs have been shown to mitigate fluorescence quenching significantly, as spacers from the surface.^{43,44} Thus, we were able to observe bicelle and vesicle adsorption onto SAM-functionalized

surfaces with time-lapse fluorescence microscopy. The bicelles were composed of a mixture of long-chain 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and short-chain 1,2-dihexanoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DHPC) lipids with a *q*-ratio of 0.25 (i.e., ratio of long-chain to short-chain phospholipids). Vesicles used in these studies were composed of an equivalent concentration of the DOPC lipid alone. Both types of lipid mixtures also contained a small amount of 1,2-dipalmitoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phos-phoethanolamine-*N*-(lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl) lipid to facilitate fluorescence visualization. Both bicelles and vesicles were prepared in 10 mM Tris buffer [pH 7.5] with 150 mM NaCl by the freeze-thaw-



Figure 4. Selective protein binding to micropatterned lipid bicelles. (A) Schematic illustration of fluorescently labeled streptavidin binding to predefined bicelle patterns that were previously adsorbed to mixed-monolayer functionalized gold surfaces. The bicelles consist of biotinylated-lipid compositions consisting of 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), 1,2-dihexanoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DHPC), and 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-*N*-(cap biotinyl) (Biotin-PE). Fluorescence micrographs depict negligible streptavidin binding to (B) a chemically patterned gold surface without a bicelle coating and (C) micropatterned lipid bicelles without biotinylated lipids. (D) Fluorescence micrographs show time-lapse image snapshots of streptavidin binding to biotinylated lipid-functionalized bicelle patterns. Streptavidin was added at t = 0 min and incubated for 25 min. For all images, there was no wash step to observe the binding behavior of fluorescently labeled streptavidin.

vortexing method and had similar hydrodynamic diameters of around 400 nm (Figure S2).⁴⁵

The lipid nanostructures were incubated with the patterned gold surface for 45 min before a buffer washing step, followed by fluorescence microscopy imaging. As shown in Figure 2A, the lipid bicelles formed high-resolution micropatterns whereby the fluorophore-enriched red regions correspond to supported lipid membranes on top of hydrophilic SAM regions while the fluorophore-deficient black regions depict hydrophobic SAM regions where the bicelles did not adsorb. Supported lipid membranes formed according to the underlying patterned SAM features with dimensions as small as 5 μ m. Well-packed lipid bicelle adlayers with a conformal appearance were observed on patterns with different scales and geometries. By contrast, the lipid vesicles were less effective at forming micropatterned, supported lipid membranes (Figure 2B). The lipid vesicles appeared to adsorb preferentially onto the hydrophilic SAM regions; however, the deposition process resulted in more disordered adlayers with nonuniform coating appearances, and there was also a moderate level of nonspecific vesicle adsorption on the hydrophobic SAM regions as well.

Using time-lapse fluorescence microscopy imaging, we further investigated the adsorption kinetics of lipid bicelles onto chemically patterned gold surfaces (Figure 3). Within the first 2 min, the fluorescently labeled bicelles adsorbed preferentially onto the hydrophilic SAM regions, while some defects were initially present along with some nonspecific bicelle adsorption onto the hydrophobic SAM regions. Over time, the defects vanished, and there was also increased resolution such that the bicelle pattern mirrored the underlying pattern of hydrophilic and hydrophobic SAM regions. The fluorescence intensity becomes more uniform within the bicelle-patterned hydrophilic regions, indicating that bicelle adsorption on the hydrophilic SAM regions continued until reaching saturation after ~ 20 min. Importantly, the pattern resolution remained stable for at least 24 h and the hydrophobic SAM regions acted as barriers to keep the

supported lipid membranes contained within the hydrophilic SAM regions.

In addition to characterizing the formation process, we also investigated the functionality of the micropatterned, supported lipid membranes by incorporating 1 mol % of 1,2-dioleoyl-snglycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(cap biotinyl) (Biotin-PE) lipid into the bicelle mixture in order to study ligand-receptor interactions (Figure 4). In this case, the supported lipid membranes were fabricated without fluorescently labeled phospholipid and the subsequent binding of fluorescently labeled streptavidin to the biotinylated lipids was detected by time-lapse fluorescence microscopy imaging, as outlined in Figure 4A. The binding scheme was highly specific as negligible streptavidin binding occurred on chemically functionalized gold surfaces without a supported lipid membrane coating and on bicelle-patterned surfaces that did not contain biotinylated lipid (Figure 4B and C). In the case of the bicelle-patterned surface that included biotinylated lipid, streptavidin binding to the bicelle pattern was detected within 10 min-as indicated by the fluorescence signal emitted by labeled streptavidin molecules (Figure 4D). Streptavidin binding was concentrated in the bicelle-coated regions on top of the hydrophilic SAM regions. Even without a blocking protein layer (e.g., bovine serum albumin) on top of the hydrophobic SAMs, nonspecific streptavidin binding was minimal. Within 20 min, the amount of bound streptavidin within the bicelle-coated areas reached stable levels, demonstrating that membrane-associated receptors can be included in the bicelle mixtures and functionally incorporated within the micropatterned, supported lipid membranes.

The aforementioned results demonstrate that lipid bicelles selectively adsorb onto hydrophilic SAM-functionalized gold surfaces, which correspond to HSC11-OH monolayers based on our platform design, and form well-packed adlayers. To characterize the bicelle adlayer properties, we conducted QCM-D experiments in order to track bicelle and vesicle adsorption onto bare and HSC11-OH-functionalized gold surfaces (Figure 5). In the QCM-D measurements, resonance



Figure 5. Quartz crystal microbalance and dissipation (QCM-D) characterization of lipid bicelle and vesicle adsorption onto bare, mercaptoundecanol (HSC11-OH), and 1-octadecanethiol (HSC18) functionalized gold surfaces. (A) QCM-D Δf and dissipation (ΔD) shifts as a function of time for lipid bicelle and vesicle adsorption onto bare gold surfaces. (B) Magnitude of final Δf and (C) ΔD shifts corresponding to data in panel A. (D) QCM-D Δf and ΔD shifts as a function of time for lipid c adsorption onto HSC11-OH-functionalized gold surfaces. (E) Magnitude of final Δf and (F) ΔD shifts corresponding to data in panel D. (G) QCM-D Δf and ΔD shifts corresponding to data in panel D. (G) QCM-D Δf and ΔD shifts corresponding to data in expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean for N = 4 runs, and statistical significance was determined by using the Student's *t* test (**p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, and ****p* < 0.001).

frequency (Δf) and energy dissipation (ΔD) shifts arising from lipid adsorption reflect changes in the mass and viscoelastic properties of the adlayer, respectively.⁴⁶ On bare gold surfaces, lipid bicelles and vesicles exhibited similar adsorption kinetic profiles that are consistent with adsorption of intact bicelles or vesicles in the two respective cases (Figure 5A). Equivalent Δf shifts of approximately -15 Hz were observed, while $3 \times$ larger ΔD shifts were observed for vesicles (3 × 10⁻⁶ vs 1 × 10⁻⁶) (Figure 5B and C). The larger ΔD shift of adsorbed vesicles is likely due to the geometrical differences between the nearly planar, 2D bicelles and 3D spherical vesicles that have more hydrodynamically coupled solvent per adsorption and, hence, contribute to a larger viscoelastic response than bicelles.⁴⁷ Time-independent analyses⁴⁸ of the Δf versus ΔD signals for the bicelle and vesicle adsorption cases are consistent with bicelles attaching more rigidly to the bare gold surface (Figure

S3). Together, the data support that bicelles adsorb to a greater extent than vesicles when taking into account the respective hydrodynamic factors.

On HSC11-OH-functionalized gold surfaces, it was also observed that lipid bicelles and vesicles adsorb and remain intact without rupturing (Figure 5D). Similar results have been reported for vesicle adsorption onto hydrophilic SAM surfaces,¹⁸ while the QCM-D measurements in our case further revealed that bicelle adsorption was appreciably quicker than vesicle adsorption, which did not reach equilibrium on the measurement time scale. In addition, bicelle adsorption onto HSC11-OH-functionalized gold surfaces was more favorable than on bare gold surfaces, as indicated by larger Δf and ΔD shifts of approximately -30 Hz and 4×10^{-6} , respectively (Figure 5E and F). The adsorption kinetics and final QCM-D

shifts indicate that the adsorbed bicelles remain intact on the HSC11-OH monolayer to form a well-packed adlayer.

In contrast, vesicle adsorption onto HSC11-OH-functionalized gold surfaces yielded significantly larger Δf and ΔD shifts of around -120 Hz and 40×10^{-6} , respectively, than on bare gold surfaces. The ΔD shift for vesicle adsorption in this case was more than $10 \times$ larger than the corresponding signal on bare gold surfaces. The large measurement responses associated with vesicle adsorption are indicative of weak vesicle adhesion to the HSC11-OH monolayer whereby adsorbed vesicles experience minimal substrate-induced deformation and hence contribute to a larger viscoelastic response.⁴⁹ This finding helps to explain why lipid vesicles were less effective than bicelles in forming micropatterned, supported lipid membranes.

We also characterized lipid bicelle and vesicle adsorption onto HSC18-functionalized gold surfaces. The QCM-D data showed that there was minimal bicelle adsorption onto the HSC18-functionalized gold surface $(-1.25 \pm 2.5 \text{ Hz})$, which is consistent with the fluorescence microscopy results. Of note, DOPC/DHPC bicelles are equilibrium-phase structures that coexist with free DHPC molecules in bulk solution,⁵⁰ so we also measured free DHPC lipid adsorption onto the HSC18functionalized gold surfaces and observed similar Δf shifts as in the bicelle case (Figure S4). Taken together, the data support that DHPC lipid molecules are the main component in the bicelle system that adsorbs to the HSC18 monolayer and the complex, two-step interaction kinetics further indicate that DHPC molecules intercalate within the HSC18 monolayer. Thus, in the bicelle case, the DHPC molecules appear to play an important role in passivating nonspecific adsorption on the HSC18 monolayer regions, as evidenced by the negligible levels of bicelle and streptavidin adsorption described above (cf. Figures 2-4).

On the other hand, for vesicle adsorption onto HSC18functionalized surfaces, we observed larger Δf shifts of around -11 ± 2 Hz that did not reach equilibrium over a 30 min time interval. This observation agrees well with our fluorescence microscopy results, which showed a moderate degree of nonspecific vesicle adsorption onto HSC18-functionalized surfaces. It has also been reported that lipid vesicle interaction processes with hydrophobic SAMs can take a long time to reach equilibrium, up to several hours, and depend on the specific system (e.g., SAM molecular packing, vesicle preparation, vesicle size), while our incubation protocol occurs on much shorter time scales.^{51–53} Taken together, the QCM-D data support that both types of lipid nanostructures, bicelles and vesicles, adsorb onto bare and hydrophilic HSC11-OHfunctionalized gold surfaces. The bicelle system enables the formation of well-packed adlayers on the hydrophilic SAM region while also inhibiting nonspecific adsorption on the HSC18-functionalized gold surface (hydrophobic SAM region) due to DHPC molecular passivation along with the large contacting surface area of an adsorbing bicelle.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we have demonstrated that, when combined with CLL fabrication capabilities, lipid bicelles are useful lipid nanostructures for preparing supported lipid membranes with micropatterned geometries. From a materials design perspective, the bicelles have three key advantages: First, they are easily prepared, requiring only aqueous hydration followed by a few cycles of freeze-thaw-vortexing. Second, the bicelles

have 2D disk architectures that are well-suited for forming nonfouling, conformal layers without requiring SLB formation. Third, the high surface-area-to-volume ratios of adsorbed lipid bicelles facilitate large contact areas that support firm, irreversible attachment to hydrophilic SAMs while helping to minimize attachment to hydrophobic SAMs in tandem with DHPC molecular passivation. By contrast, the packing constraints and smaller surface-area-to-volume ratios of adsorbing vesicles result in nonconformal layers and less discrimination between hydrophilic and hydrophobic SAMs. As such, our findings provide strong experimental evidence supporting the merits of lipid bicelles as macromolecular building blocks for the fabrication of micropatterned, supported lipid membrane platforms. Considering that lipid bicelles are widely used to reconstitute transmembrane proteins in membranous environments, we anticipate that these fabrication capabilities could be broadly useful for developing micropatterned arrays of supported lipid membranes that incorporate membrane proteins and other membrane-associated entities for applications, such as pharmacological drug testing and high-throughput screening assays.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemical Lift-Off Lithography. Siloxyl groups were formed on PDMS stamps by exposure of molded features to oxygen plasma (Harrick Plasma, Ithaca, NY, USA) for 40 s at a power of 18 W with a chamber pressure of 10 psi. By contacting the oxygen plasma-treated PDMS stamps to an underlying HSC11-OH SAM, a condensation reaction occurs, yielding covalent binding between the distal hydroxyl groups of HSC11-OH SAM molecules and the siloxyl groups on the patterned PDMS surface. When the PDMS stamp was retracted from the gold surface, strong bonding between these two interfaces led to the subsequent lift-off of HSC11-OH molecules and gold atoms. The exposed regions of bare gold surface were subsequently functionalized by incubating the substrate in a 5 mM ethanolic solution of HSC18 molecules for 1 h, resulting in the formation of a functionalized gold surface with well-defined regions of hydrophilic and hydrophobic SAMs.

Lipid Nanostructure Preparation. To fabricate lipid bicelles, 1 mg each of DOPC and DHPC phospholipids in chloroform were dispensed individually into separate glass test tubes and the chloroform solvent was evaporated by rotating the tubes under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas to form dry lipid films, followed by placing the tubes in a vacuum desiccator overnight. Then, the dry DOPC lipid film was hydrated in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.5] with 150 mM NaCl) in order to prepare a 63 μ M DOPC lipid stock solution. The DOPC lipid solution was then used to hydrate the DHPC lipid film so that the final concentration of DHPC lipid was 252 μ M. As a result, the molar ratio ("q-ratio") between DOPC longchain phospholipids and DHPC short-chain phospholipids was 0.25. After preparing the DOPC/DHPC lipid mixture, the solution was plunged into liquid nitrogen for 1 min, followed by 5 min incubation in a 60 °C water bath and subsequent vortexing for 30 s. This freezethaw-vortex cycle was repeated a total of five times. The DOPC lipid vesicles were also prepared using lipid hydration and freeze-thawvortex cycling as described above with DOPC lipid concentration fixed at 63 μ M. To prepare fluorescently labeled bicelles and vesicles, the initially prepared DOPC lipid stock solution contained 0.5 mol % of fluorescently labeled Rho-PE lipid. To prepare biotinylated lipidcontaining bicelles, the initially prepared DOPC lipid stock solution contained 1 mol % of biotin-PE lipid.

Lipid Nanostructure Patterning. Lipid bicelle and vesicle solutions were incubated with the prepatterned SAM surface for 45 min under ambient conditions, and then the surface was rinsed with buffer a total of three times to form supported lipid membranes in the hydrophilic SAM regions. The patterned lipid-SAM substrates

were kept hydrated during rinsing cycles to prevent delamination of the lipid layer.

Biotin–Streptavidin Binding Experiments. After patterning the SAM-functionalized substrate with biotinylated lipid-containing bicelles, the solution was carefully exchanged with aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.5] with 150 mM NaCl) using a 1000 μ L pipet. Next, fluorescently labeled streptavidin molecules, at a concentration of 17 nM in equivalent buffer, were incubated with the substrate for 45 min at room temperature. Appropriate control experiments without biotinylated lipid and without supported lipid membranes were conducted to verify the binding specificity of the biotin–streptavidin interaction.

Fluorescence Microscopy. Epifluorescence microscopy experiments were performed using an AxioZ1 Observer fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) with LD Plan-Neofluar $10\times/0.3$ and $20\times/0.4$ objectives. Images were acquired using a 545/25 bandpass excitation filter, a FT 570 beam splitter, and a 605/70 bandpass emission filter (43 HE DsRed filter set).

Quartz Crystal Microbalance-Dissipation (QCM-D). Lipid adsorption kinetics were monitored using a Q-Sense E4 instrument (Biolin Scientific AB, Stockholm, Sweden). Gold-coated QCM-D sensor chips (QSX 301, Biolin Scientific AB) were used for all experiments and were washed with ethanol and water, dried with nitrogen gas, and then treated with oxygen plasma for 1 min in a plasma cleaner (PDC-002, Harrick Plasma, Ithaca, NY). The sensor chips were either used as-is or next functionalized with a hydrophilic SAM layer by immersing the sensor chips in a 1 mM ethanolic solution of HSC11-OH molecules for 1 h at room temperature. After incubation, the SAM-functionalized sensor chips were rinsed with ethanol before nitrogen gas drying. After assembling bare gold or SAM-functionalized sensor chips within the QCM-D measurement chamber, a baseline signal in aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.5] with 150 mM NaCl) was first established. Then, lipid bicelles or vesicles (63 μ M DOPC lipid concentration) in equivalent buffer were injected into the measurement chamber under continuous flow conditions at a volumetric rate of 50 μ L/min, as controlled by a peristaltic pump (Reglo Digital, Ismatec, Cole-Parmer GmbH, Wertheim, Germany). Experimental data were collected and processed using the Q-Soft and Q-Tools software packages, respectively, from Biolin Scientific AB. The reported data were collected at the fifth overtone and the frequency shifts were normalized according to the overtone number.

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS). The size distribution of lipid bicelles and vesicles was measured by the DLS technique using a 90Plus particle size analyzer instrument (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Holtsville, NY) with a 658 nm monochromatic laser. The scattered light was measured perpendicular to the sample. The intensity-weighted size distribution of the vesicles was recorded based on an autocorrelation function, which correlates fluctuations in the scattered light intensity with respect to time⁵⁴ and is obtained using Particle Sizing software (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation). The mean hydrodynamic diameter and standard deviation of the bicelle and vesicle sizes are reported for N = 5.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsami.9b20617.

Additional details about the materials and methods, including reagents, patterning steps, instrumental tools, and experimental characterization (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Nam-Joon Cho – SKKU-UCLA-NTU Precision Biology Research Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea; School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 639798, Singapore; orcid.org/0000-0002-8692-8955; Email: njcho@ ntu.edu.sg

Paul S. Weiss – California NanoSystems Institute, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Department of Bioengineering, and Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States; SKKU-UCLA-NTU Precision Biology Research Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea; ◎ orcid.org/0000-0001-5527-6248; Email: psw@cnsi.ucla.edu

Authors

- **Jason N. Belling** California NanoSystems Institute and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States
- Kevin M. Cheung California NanoSystems Institute and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States; Ocicil.org/0000-0003-1586-0364
- Joshua A. Jackman School of Chemical Engineering and SKKU-UCLA-NTU Precision Biology Research Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea; orcid.org/0000-0002-1800-8102
- **Tun Naw Sut** School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 639798, Singapore
- Matthew Allen California NanoSystems Institute and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States
- Jae Hyeon Park California NanoSystems Institute and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States
- Steven J. Jonas California NanoSystems Institute, Department of Pediatrics, David Geffen School of Medicine, Children's Discovery and Innovation Institute, and Eli & Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States; o orcid.org/0000-0002-8111-0249

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsami.9b20617

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. Anne Andrews (UCLA) for helpful discussions on chemical patterning and chemical lift-off lithography. We thank Prof. Zoran Galic (UCLA) for providing access to a fluorescence microscope instrument and Dr. Adam Z. Stieg (UCLA) for discussions on lipid surface characterization. This work was supported by a National Research Foundation Proofof-Concept Grant (NRF2015NRF-POC001-019), the Creative Materials Discovery Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) that is funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT, and Future Planning (2016M3D1A1024098), NIH/NCATS UCLA CTSI Grant Number KL2TR001882, and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (DA045550). J.N.B. thanks the NIH for a predoctoral fellowship; research reported in this publication was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under

Award Number F31HL149356. K.M.C. thanks the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry at UCLA for a SG fellowship. P.S.W. and S.J.J. thank additional support and seed funding provided through a UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine Regenerative Medicine Theme Award. S.J.J. is supported by the NIH Common Fund through a NIH Director's Early Independence Award cofunded by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research and Office of the Director, NIH under award number DPSOD028181. S.J.J. also wishes to acknowledge Young Investigator Award funds from the Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation for Childhood Cancer Research, the Hyundai Hope on Wheels Foundation for Pediatric Cancer Research, and the Tower Cancer Research Foundation.

REFERENCES

(1) Städler, B.; Falconnet, D.; Pfeiffer, I.; Höök, F.; Vörös, J. Micropatterning of DNA-Tagged Vesicles. *Langmuir* **2004**, *20*, 11348–11354.

(2) Castellana, E. T.; Cremer, P. S. Solid Supported Lipid Bilayers: From Biophysical Studies to Sensor Design. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2006**, *61*, 429–444.

(3) Chan, Y.-H. M.; Boxer, S. G. Model Membrane Systems and Their Applications. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2007, 11, 581–587.

(4) Bally, M.; Bailey, K.; Sugihara, K.; Grieshaber, D.; Vörös, J.; Städler, B. Liposome and Lipid Bilayer Arrays Towards Biosensing Applications. *Small* **2010**, *6*, 2481–2497.

(5) Hardy, G. J.; Nayak, R.; Zauscher, S. Model Cell Membranes: Techniques To Form Complex Biomimetic Supported Lipid Bilayers *via* Vesicle Fusion. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2013**, *18*, 448– 458.

(6) Keller, C. A.; Kasemo, B. Surface Specific Kinetics of Lipid Vesicle Adsorption Measured with a Quartz Crystal Microbalance. *Biophys. J.* **1998**, *75*, 1397–1402.

(7) Cremer, P. S.; Boxer, S. G. Formation and Spreading of Lipid Bilayers on Planar Glass Supports. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1999**, *103*, 2554–2559.

(8) Biswas, K. H.; Jackman, J. A.; Park, J. H.; Groves, J. T.; Cho, N.-J. Interfacial Forces Dictate the Pathway of Phospholipid Vesicle Adsorption onto Silicon Dioxide Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2018**, *34*, 1775– 1782.

(9) Groves, J. T.; Ulman, N.; Cremer, P. S.; Boxer, S. G. Substrate– Membrane Interactions: Mechanisms for Imposing Patterns on a Fluid Bilayer Membrane. *Langmuir* **1998**, *14*, 3347–3350.

(10) Groves, J. T.; Ulman, N.; Boxer, S. G. Micropatterning Fluid Lipid Bilayers on Solid Supports. *Science* **1997**, *275*, 651–653.

(11) Groves, J. T.; Boxer, S. G. Micropattern Formation in Supported Lipid Membranes. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *35*, 149–157.

(12) Kung, L.; Kam, L.; Hovis, J.; Boxer, S. G. Patterning Hybrid Surfaces of Proteins and Supported Lipid Bilayers. *Langmuir* **2000**, *16*, 6773–6776.

(13) Morigaki, K.; Kiyosue, K.; Taguchi, T. Micropatterned Composite Membranes of Polymerized and Fluid Lipid Bilayers. *Langmuir* **2004**, *20*, 7729–7735.

(14) Daniel, C.; Sohn, K.; Mates, T.; Kramer, E.; Rädler, J.; Sackmann, E.; Nickel, B.; Andruzzi, L. Structural Characterization of an Elevated Lipid Bilayer Obtained by Stepwise Functionalization of a Self-Assembled Alkenyl Silane Film. *Biointerphases* **2007**, *2*, 109–118.

(15) Tan, C.; Craighead, H. Surface Engineering and Patterning Using Parylene for Biological Applications. *Materials* **2010**, *3*, 1803–1832.

(16) Pla-Roca, M.; Isa, L.; Kumar, K.; Reimhult, R. Selective (Bio)Functionalization of Solid-State Nanopores. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2015**, 7, 6030–6035.

(17) Towns, E. N.; Parikh, A. N.; Land, D. P. Influence of Vesicle Size and Aqueous Solvent on Intact Phospholipid Vesicle Adsorption on Oxidized Gold Monitored using Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 2412–2418.

(18) Jenkins, A. T. A.; Bushby, R. J.; Boden, N.; Evans, S. D.; Knowles, P. F.; Liu, Q.; Miles, R. E.; Ogier, S. D. Ion-Selective Lipid Bilayers Tethered to Microcontact Printed Self-Assembled Monolayers Containing Cholesterol Derivatives. *Langmuir* **1998**, *14*, 4675– 4678.

(19) Jenkins, A. T. A.; Boden, N.; Bushby, R. J.; Evans, S. D.; Knowles, P. F.; Miles, R. E.; Ogier, S. D.; Schönherr, H.; Vancso, G. J. Microcontact Printing of Lipophilic Self-Assembled Monolayers for the Attachment of Biomimetic Lipid Bilayers to Surfaces. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 5274–5280.

(20) Jenkins, A. T. A.; Bushby, R. J.; Evans, S. D.; Knoll, W.; Offenhäusser, A.; Ogier, S. D. Lipid Vesicle Fusion on μ CP Patterned Self-Assembled Monolayers: Effect of Pattern Geometry on Bilayer Formation. *Langmuir* **2002**, *18*, 3176–3180.

(21) Strulson, M. K.; Maurer, J. A. Microcontact Printing for Creation of Patterned Lipid Bilayers on Tetraethylene Glycol Self-Assembled Monolayers. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27*, 12052–12057.

(22) Strulson, M. K.; Maurer, J. A. Mechanistic Insight into Patterned Supported Lipid Bilayer Self-Assembly. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 13652–13659.

(23) Coyle, M. P.; Xu, Q.; Chiang, S.; Francis, M. B.; Groves, J. T. DNA-Mediated Assembly of Protein Heterodimers on Membrane Surfaces. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5012–5016.

(24) Taylor, M. J.; Husain, K.; Gartner, Z. J.; Mayor, S.; Vale, R. D. A DNA-Based T Cell Receptor Reveals a Role for Receptor Clustering in Ligand Discrimination. *Cell* **2017**, *169*, 108–119.

(25) Jung, D. R.; Kapur, R.; Adams, T.; Giuliano, K. A.; Mrksich, M.; Craighead, H. G.; Taylor, D. L. Topographical and Physicochemical Modificationof Material Surface to Enable Patterning of Living Cells. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **2001**, *21*, 111–154.

(26) Biswas, K.; Groves, J. Hybrid Live Cell–Supported Membrane Interfaces for Signaling Studies. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **2019**, *48*, 537– 562.

(27) Liao, W.-S.; Cheunkar, S.; Cao, H. H.; Bednar, H. R.; Weiss, P. S.; Andrews, A. M. Subtractive Patterning *via* Chemical Lift-Off Lithography. *Science* **2012**, 337, 1517–1521.

(28) Xu, X.; Yang, Q.; Cheung, K. M.; Zhao, C.; Wattanatorn, N.; Belling, J. N.; Abendroth, J. M.; Slaughter, L. S.; Mirkin, C. A.; Andrews, A. M.; Weiss, P. S. Polymer-Pen Chemical Lift-Off Lithography. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 3302–3311.

(29) Slaughter, L. S.; Cheung, K. M.; Kaappa, S.; Cao, H. H.; Yang, Q.; Young, T. D.; Serino, A. C.; Malola, S.; Olson, J. M.; Link, S.; Häkkinen, H.; Andrews, A. M.; Weiss, P. S. Patterning of Supported Gold Monolayers via Chemical Lift-Off Lithography. *Beilstein J.* Nanotechnol. 2017, 8, 2648–2661.

(30) Chen, C.-Y.; Li, H.-H.; Chu, H.-Y.; Wang, C.-M.; Chang, C.-W.; Lin, L.-E.; Hsu, C.-C.; Liao, W.-S. Finely Tunable Surface Wettability by Two-Dimensional Molecular Manipulation. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 41814–41823.

(31) Chen, C. Y.; Wang, C. M.; Liao, W. S. A Special Connection between Nanofabrication and Analytical Devices: Chemical Lift-Off Lithography. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2019**, *92*, 600–607.

(32) Cheung, K. M.; Stemer, D. M.; Zhao, C.; Young, T.; Belling, J. N.; Andrews, A. M.; Weiss, P. S. Chemical Lift-Off Lithography of Metal and Semiconductor Surfaces. *ACS Materials Lett.* **2020**, *2*, 76–83.

(33) Zeineldin, R.; Last, J. A.; Slade, A. L.; Ista, L. K.; Bisong, P.; O'Brien, M. J.; Brueck, S. R. J.; Sasaki, D. Y.; Lopez, G. P. Using Bicellar Mixtures To Form Supported and Suspended Lipid Bilayers on Silicon Chips. *Langmuir* **2006**, *22*, 8163–8168.

(34) Kolahdouzan, K.; Jackman, J. A.; Yoon, B. K.; Kim, M. C.; Johal, M. S.; Cho, N.-J. Optimizing the Formation of Supported Lipid Bilayers from Bicellar Mixtures. *Langmuir* **201**7, *33*, 5052–5064.

(35) Sut, T. N.; Jackman, J. A.; Yoon, B. K.; Park, S.; Kolahdouzan, K.; Ma, G. J.; Zhdanov, V. P.; Cho, N.-J. Influence of NaCl Concentration on Bicelle-Mediated SLB Formation. *Langmuir* **2019**, 35, 10658–10666.

(36) Dameron, A. A.; Hampton, J. R.; Smith, R. K.; Mullen, T. J.; Gillmor, S. D.; Weiss, P. S. Microdisplacement Printing. *Nano Lett.* **2005**, *5*, 1834–1837.

(37) Mullen, T. J.; Srinivasan, C.; Hohman, J. N.; Gillmor, S. D.; Shuster, M. J.; Horn, M. W.; Andrews, A. M.; Weiss, P. S. Microdisplacement Printing. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, *90*, No. 063114.

(38) Srinivasan, C.; Mullen, T. J.; Hohman, J. N.; Anderson, M. E.;
Dameron, A. A.; Andrews, A. M.; Dickey, E. C.; Horn, M. W.; Weiss,
P. S. Scanning Electron Microscopy of Nanoscale Chemical Patterns.

ACS Nano 2007, 1, 191–201. (39) Andrews, A. M.; Liao, W.-S.; Weiss, P. S. Double-Sided

(39) Andrews, A. M.; Liao, W.-S.; Weiss, P. S. Double-Sided Opportunities Using Chemical Lift-Off Lithography. Acc. Chem. Res. **2016**, 49, 1449–1457.

(40) Tabaei, S. R.; Jackman, J. A.; Kim, S.-O.; Zhdanov, V. P.; Cho, N.-J. Solvent-Assisted Lipid Self-Assembly at Hydrophilic Surfaces: Factors Influencing the Formation of Supported Membranes. *Langmuir* **2015**, *31*, 3125–3134.

(41) Silin, V. I.; Wieder, H.; Woodward, J. T.; Valincius, G.; Offenhausser, A.; Plant, A. L. The Role of Surface Free Energy on the Formation of Hybrid Bilayer Membranes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14676–14683.

(42) Tero, R.; Takizawa, M.; Li, Y.; Yamazaki, M.; Urisu, T. Lipid Membrane Formation by Vesicle Fusion on Silicon Dioxide Surfaces Modified with Alkyl Self-Assembled Monolayer Islands. *Langmuir* **2004**, *20*, 7526–7531.

(43) Arima, Y.; Iwata, H. Effects of Surface Functional Groups on Protein Adsorption and Subsequent Cell Adhesion Using Self-Assembled Monolayers. J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17, 4079–4087.

(44) Choi, B.; Iwanaga, M.; Miyazaki, H.; Sugimoto, Y.; Ohtake, A.; et al. Overcoming Metal-Induced Fluorescence Quenching on Plasmo-Photonic Metasurfaces Coated by a Self-Assembled Mono-layer. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 11470–11473.

(45) Cho, N.-J.; Frank, C. W.; Kasemo, B.; Höök, F. Quartz Crystal Microbalance with Dissipation Monitoring of Supported Lipid Bilayers on Various Substrates. *Nat. Protoc.* **2010**, *5*, 1096–1106.

(46) Reimhult, E.; Höök, F.; Kasemo, B. Intact Vesicle Adsorption and Supported Biomembrane Formation from Vesicles in Solution: Influence of Surface Chemistry, Vesicle Size, Temperature, and Osmotic Pressure. *Langmuir* **2003**, *19*, 1681–1691.

(47) Sut, T. N.; Jackman, J. A.; Cho, N.-J. Understanding How Membrane Surface Charge Influences Lipid Bicelle Adsorption onto Oxide Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2019**, *35*, 8439–8444.

(48) Keller, C. A.; Glasmästar, K.; Zhdanov, V. P.; Kasemo, B. Formation of Supported Membranes from Vesicles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2000**, *84*, 5443–5446.

(49) Jackman, J. A.; Yorulmaz Avsar, S.; Ferhan, A. R.; Li, D.; Park, J. H.; Zhdanov, V. P.; Cho, N.-J. Quantitative Profiling of Nanoscale Liposome Deformation by a Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance Sensor. *Anal. Chem.* **2017**, *89*, 1102–1109.

(50) Van Dam, L.; Karlsson, G.; Edwards, K. Direct Observation and Characterization of DMPC/DHPC Aggregates under Conditions Relevant for Biological Solution NMR. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* 2004, 1664, 241–256.

(51) Williams, L. M.; Evans, S. D.; Flynn, T. M.; Marsh, A.; Knowles, P. F.; Bushby, R. J.; Boden, N. Kinetics of the Unrolling of Small Unilamellar Phospholipid Vesicles onto Self-Assembled Monolayers. *Langmuir* **1997**, *13*, 751–757.

(52) Lingler, S.; Rubinstein, I.; Knoll, W.; Offenhäusser, A. Fusion of Small Unilamellar Lipid Vesicles to Alkanethiol and Thiolipid Self-Assembled Monolayers on Gold. *Langmuir* **1997**, *13*, 7085–7091.

(53) Keller, C. A.; Kasemo, B. Surface Specific Kinetics of Lipid Vesicle Adsorption Measured with a Quartz Crystal Microbalance. *Biophys. J.* **1998**, 75, 1397–1402.

(54) Berne, B. J.; Pecora, R. Dynamic Light Scattering: With Applications to Chemistry, Biology, and Physics; Courier Corporation, 2000.